Partial pressure of carbon dioxide (pCO\textsubscript{2}) from arterial blood gases (ABG) is the gold standard in assessing ventilation. Hypercarbia and hypocarbia are associated with respiratory and neurologic complications.\textsuperscript{1} Moreover, the ventilator setting for respiratory acidosis or alkalosis needs to be adjusted. This usually should improve ventilatory treatment by optimizing tidal volumes, therefore reducing acute lung injury from volutrauma.\textsuperscript{2}

Umbilical arterial catheter (UAC), intermittent peripheral arterial punctures, or arterialized capillary blood samples can be used to directly measure ABG values in neonates. However, blood gases (BG) obtained by arterial or heel puncture are associated with the future development of cellulitis, abscess, necrotizing chondritis of the calcaneus cartilage, or calcaneal osteomyelitis and can cause severe pain in fragile neonates. A UAC can be used up to 5 days, whereas an umbilical venous catheter (UVC) can be used up to 14 days.\textsuperscript{3}

To reduce the harmful effect of ventilatory support and promote gentle care in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU), continuous noninvasive monitoring of ventilation (CO\textsubscript{2}) is
recommended. However, to date there have been few studies examining the agreement and correlation of both end-tidal carbon dioxide (EtCO$_2$) and transcutaneous carbon dioxide (TcCO$_2$) methods with arterial pCO$_2$.\textsuperscript{1}

Compared with fetuses and children, neonates have a different anatomy and physiology. Between fetal and child circulation, neonatal circulation is intermediate. pCO$_2$ levels from arterial samples in umbilical cord (fetal),\textsuperscript{4,8} neonates,\textsuperscript{9} and children/adults\textsuperscript{10-17} are physiologically higher, similar, and lower than venous samples, respectively. However, few studies have compared BGs in the neonatal period. The purpose of this study was to examine the correlation and prediction of pCO$_2$ from UVBG to UABG (pCO$_2$(UVBG) to pCO$_2$(UABG)) dyads.

**Material and Methods**

**Settings and study design**

The STARD guidelines were followed in a prospective study conducted at a neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) in Thailand from July 1, 2018 to December 31, 2019. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee Board of the Faculty of Medicine, Prince of Songkla University (REC 60-383-01-1) and registered in the Thai Clinical Trials Registry (TCTR20180216001).

Neonates with both UAC and UVC readings available were the main inclusion criterion. The exclusion criteria were neonates with unstable vital signs, congenital heart disease, or the parents’ decision not to participate. Umbilical blood was sampled by clinical indications. For BG analysis, after informed consent was provided, 0.2 mL each of UAB and UVB was drawn as simultaneously as possible (within 1 min) from each catheter. No repeat samples were drawn from the same neonate (one paired sample per one neonate). An ABL800 BASIC (Radiometer Medical ApS™, Denmark), a BG and electrolytes analyzer, was used to analyze all blood samples within 3 min after the blood was drawn.

**Statistical analysis**

To develop a categorical and continuous variable database, the R program (version 3.6.2, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) was used. Categorical variables are presented as frequency and percentage. Parametric continuous variables are presented as mean (standard deviation, SD) and paired $t$-test was used to compare paired samples. Nonparametric continuous variables are presented as median (interquartile range, IQR) and the Wilcoxon signed rank test with continuity correction was used to compare paired samples. Pearson (parametric variables; $r$) and Spearman’s rank (nonparametric variables; $\rho$) tests were used to analyze correlations. The cutoff points of postnatal age (for comparison) and pCO$_2$ level (for correlation) for the subgroup analysis were 24 h and 35-45 mmHg (normocarbia), respectively. Patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) is functionally closed by 24 h after birth.

For pCO$_2$(UABG) prediction, simple and multivariate linear regression were used. Significant variables from previous studies for pCO$_2$(UVBG), venous base excess (VBE), gestational age,\textsuperscript{4,38} postnatal age, 5-min Apgar score,\textsuperscript{9} and respiratory problems (binary variables including respiratory distress syndrome (RDS), meconium aspiration syndrome (MAS), pneumonia, and PDA)\textsuperscript{19} were entered to adjust the outcome. The most parsimonious model was determined by the multivariate analysis model with the lowest Akaike information criteria (AIC). Sample size was calculated as a minimum of 30 neonates based on a previous study, but we increased the number of participants to 116 neonates to increase the power of the study. G*Power version 3.1.9.2 was used to calculate post hoc power analysis. All p-values were two-tailed, and values less than 0.05 indicated statistical significance.
Results

One hundred sixteen paired UABG and UVBG samples were tested in the study. The medians (IQRs) of gestational age, birth weight, and time of performing the blood gas analyses were 34 (29-37) weeks, 2122 (1146-2839) g, and 2.3 (1.4-10.8) h, respectively. BG measurements of 96 neonates (83%) were obtained within 24 h of birth. Apgar 1-min and 5-min median (IQR) scores were 7 (4-8) and 8 (6-9), respectively. The enrolled neonates had incidences of RDS of 50%, MAS of 8%, pneumonia of 7%, and PDA 7%. During blood gas collection, the numbers (percentage) of neonates on respiratory or oxygen support with high-frequency oscillation, assist-control, synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation, bilevel positive airway pressure, and high flow nasal cannula were 64 (55.2%), 44 (37.9%), 6 (5.2%), 1 (0.9%), and 1 (0.9%), respectively.

Figure 1 shows the scatterplot between \( pCO_2^{UABG} \) and \( pCO_2^{UVBG} \). \( pCO_2^{UABG} \) had a median (IQR) of 40.2 (33.5-45.8) mmHg and \( pCO_2^{UVBG} \) had 40.4 (34.7-46.8) mmHg (\( \rho = 0.75, p < 0.001 \)). The median of the differences (IQR) between \( pCO_2^{UABG} \) and \( pCO_2^{UVBG} \) was −0.9 (−4.7 to 2.3) mmHg (\( p = 0.06; \) post hoc power = 100). The box plots of the differences between \( pCO_2^{UABG} \) and \( pCO_2^{UVBG} \) for each gestational age are shown in Figure 2. In addition, the mean ± SD of \( pCO_2^{UABG} \) was 40.9 ± 13.6 and \( pCO_2^{UVBG} \) was 41.6 ± 12.6 mmHg (\( r = 0.82 \)). The mean of the differences (95% confidence interval) between \( pCO_2^{UABG} \) and \( pCO_2^{UVBG} \) was −0.7 (−2.2 to 0.7) mmHg (\( p = 0.33 \)). All other parameters of the blood gases are shown in Table I.
The median of the differences (IQR) for the subgroup analysis between $pCO_2(UABG)$ and $pCO_2(UVBG)$ within 24 h of birth was $-0.8 (-4.5$ to $2.6) \text{ mmHg} (q = 0.73, p = 0.19)$. The mean of the differences $\pm \text{ SD}$ between $pCO_2(UABG)$ and $pCO_2(UVBG)$ after 24 h of life was $-2.5 \pm 5.6 \text{ mmHg}, (r = 0.89; p = 0.06)$. As shown in Table II, a $pCO_2$ level of $35-45 \text{ mmHg}$ had $87\%$ sensitivity, $94\%$ specificity, $15.05$ positive likelihood ratio, $0.14$ negative likelihood ratio, and $91\%$ accuracy of correlation.

The equation $pCO_2(UABG) = 0.9 \times pCO_2(UVBG) + 4$, from the simple linear regression, was used to predict $pCO_2(UABG)$ ($r^2 = 0.68$). The final factors to predict $pCO_2(UABG)$ in the parsimonious model ($AIC = 786.6$) were $pCO_2(UVBG)$, VBE, 5-min Apgar score, MAS, and PDA; all of these variables were statistically significantly different in the multivariate linear regression analysis, as shown in Table III. The equation $pCO_2(UABG) = 0.9 \times pCO_2(UVBG) - 0.7 \times \text{VBE} + 0.6 \times \text{5-min Apgar score} + 6.1 \times \text{MAS} - 7.7 \times \text{PDA} - 6.5$ (adjusted $r^2 = 0.74$) was the best model for predicting arterial $pCO_2$ values.

**Discussion**

The study has some clinical implications. Previous studies indicated that the $pCO_2$ mean differences ranges between venous and arterial samples were $3.9-4.4$ in adults, $10,11 3.5-7.3$ in children, $12-16 0.9$ in neonates (one study published more than 50 y ago), $9$ and $-10$ to

### Table I. Comparison between umbilical arterial and venous blood gas (UABG and UVBG) of pH, $pCO_2$, $pO_2$, $HCO_3^-$, and base excess values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UABG*</th>
<th>UVBG*</th>
<th>UABG-UVBG*</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.30 (7.26, 7.36)</td>
<td>7.30 (7.24, 7.35)</td>
<td>0.01 (-0.01, 0.02)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$pCO_2$, mmHg</td>
<td>40.2 (33.5, 45.8)</td>
<td>40.4 (34.7, 46.8)</td>
<td>-0.9 (-4.7, 2.3)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$pO_2$, mmHg</td>
<td>71.3 (58.7, 101.0)</td>
<td>51.0 (40.5, 61.7)</td>
<td>19.9 (9.5, 43.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$HCO_3^-$, mEq/L</td>
<td>19.1 (17.4, 20.7)</td>
<td>19.2 (16.7, 20.4)</td>
<td>-0.1 (-0.8, 1.0)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base excess</td>
<td>-6.60 (-8.75, -4.38)</td>
<td>-6.45 (-10.00, -4.70)</td>
<td>-0.30 (-1.10, 1.30)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*median (interquartile range)

### Table II. Correlation between arterial and venous $pCO_2$ values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$pCO_2(UVBG)$, mmHg</th>
<th>&lt;35</th>
<th>35-45</th>
<th>&gt;45</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35-45</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$pCO_{2(UABG)}$: partial pressure of carbon dioxide from umbilical arterial blood gas, $pCO_{2(UVBG)}$: partial pressure of carbon dioxide from umbilical venous blood gas

### Table III. Multivariate linear regression for prediction of $pCO_2$ in umbilical arterial blood gas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-6.5</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>-1.70</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$pCO_{2(UVBG)}$</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>17.48</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venous base excess</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-4.76</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-min Apgar score</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meconium aspiration syndrome</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patent ductus arteriosus</td>
<td>-7.7</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>-2.98</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$pCO_{2(UVBG)}$: partial pressure of carbon dioxide from umbilical venous blood gas
in umbilical cord (fetal) blood samples. Most studies were based on umbilical cord (fetal) sampling. To increase the statistical power, this study in neonates used a larger sample size (116 neonates) than the previous study (18 neonates). In this study, the difference in pCO2 between venous and arterial blood gas (0.7 mmHg) was consistent with the previous study (0.9 mmHg).

In our study, 17% of the blood samples were drawn after 24 hours of birth, whereas none of the blood samples were acquired within this time in the previous study. pCO2(UABG) and pCO2(UVBG) showed a strong correlation and no differences in blood measurements obtained more than 24 h after birth. Based on our findings, a cut-off point of pCO2 was established, at which a high correlation for pCO2 (35-45 mmHg) levels was observed between the arterial and venous samples, and moderate to strong correlation in postnatal ages within and after 24 h after birth.

There were neither significant clinical (0.9 mmHg) nor statistical (p = 0.06; post hoc power = 100%) differences between paired pCO2(UABG) and pCO2(UVBG). The equations pCO2(UABG) = 0.9 × pCO2(UVBG) + 4 (simple) and pCO2(UABG) = 0.9 × pCO2(UVBG) × 0.7 × VBE + 0.6 × 5-min Apgar score + 6.1 × MAS - 7.7 × PDA - 6.5 (regression) were used to predict pCO2(UABG). A UVC can be used longer than a UAC insertion. Therefore, in neonates in whom UAC insertion is unsuccessful or to avoid an arterial puncture, pCO2(UVBG) can be applied to pCO2(UABG).

The trend and real-time assessment of arterial pCO2 can be monitored continuously and noninvasively. In prospective studies between EtCO2 and pCO2, the average mean difference was 7 (range 2-11) and the correlation coefficient was 0.7. Between TcCO2 and pCO2, the average mean difference was 2 and the correlation coefficient was 0.9. In this study, the mean difference and correlation coefficient were less than 1 and 0.82, respectively. Moreover, clinical implications for both methods has limitations. The EtCO2 analysis can be influenced by ventilation-perfusion mismatches, or kinks or secretion obstructions in the endotracheal tube, and cannot be used currently during noninvasive or high-frequency ventilation (not accurate due to small tidal volume and higher respiratory rate). The TcCO2 analysis influences heat-induced skin damage from the electrodes, which affects reliability due to technical limitations (skin edema, poor tissue perfusion, acidosis sensor preparation, positioning, and repeated changes of location), initial measurement takes time and response time is slower when compared with EtCO2.

This study had some limitations. First, some confounders of pCO2 levels in previous studies are as follows: VBE, gestational age, postnatal age, Apgar score, and respiratory problems (RDS, MAS, pneumonia, and PDA) from the previous studies; however, analysis was adjusted by multivariate regression. Second, UVBG and UABG in a previous study and this study were compared from post-ductal samples. Most ductus arteriosus close within 24 h after birth, which affects circulation. Echocardiography was performed only on patients with suspected PDA. Information bias may have occurred because during the study period, echocardiography was not normally performed while obtaining BG. Finally, we are curious when the arteriovenous pCO2 difference in neonates (−0.9 mmHg) becomes similar to children and adults (4-6 mmHg).

This study found a strong correlation and no significant difference between pCO2(UABG) and pCO2(UVBG) as well as within and after 24 h after birth. Thus, we suggest that pCO2(UVBG) values can be substituted for pCO2(UABG). Further studies are needed to determine the time after birth neonatal differences in pCO2 between ABG and VBG become equal to children and adults.
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