Evaluation of complicated and uncomplicated parapneumonic effusion in children
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Parapneumonic effusion (PPE) and empyema are most often seen as a complication of bacterial pneumonia and occasionally associated with atypical bacteria or viruses. The aims of this study were to describe and compare demographic characteristics, clinical, laboratory, microbiological findings and treatment modalities of patients with PPE and empyema. We retrospectively reviewed 116 pediatric patients with PPE and empyema. Seventy (60.3%) had pleural empyema and 46 patients (39.6%) had PPE. The median age of patients with empyema [72.0 months (IQR 68.0 months)] was lower than the patients with PPE [92.5 (IQR 80.0 months)] (p=0.003). Children in the empyema group had significantly more dyspnea symptoms than the children with PPE (p=0.022). Mean fever duration before hospitalization was similar in both groups.

Streptococcus pneumoniae and group A streptococcus were the most common causes of empyema. All of the patients were treated with intravenous antibiotics. In addition to medical treatment, tube thoracostomy was performed in 59 of 70 (84.3%) patients in empyema group; 27 (45.8%) of them required intrapleural fibrinolysis also. In the presence of antibiotic treatment failure or in cases with moderate or large pleural effusion with loculations and clinical deterioration; it is necessary to perform drainage of the purulent fluid by tube thoracostomy, to add intrapleural fibrinolytics or to perform video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS), in order to enhance prompt recovery.
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and *Streptococcus pyogenes* are becoming increasingly frequent organisms. Optimal management of PPE is currently controversial. The aims of this study were to describe and compare demographic characteristics, clinical, laboratory, microbiological findings and treatment modalities for hospitalized patients with the diagnosis of PPE or pleural empyema.

**Material and Methods**

We retrospectively evaluated consecutive patients with PPE and pleural empyema who were admitted to our hospital from January 2006 to June 2015. Posteroanterior chest X-ray and ultrasound imaging were available for all of the patients. Chest CT had been performed for the patients with the suspicion of necrotizing pneumonia, parenchymal abscesses or bronchopleural fistulae. Diagnostic thoracentesis had been performed in patients who had pleural fluid on ultrasound imaging more than 1 cm. The terms of PPE and pleural empyema were based on pleural fluid findings except patients who had <1 cm pleural fluid suggestive of empyema with ultrasonographic view. Pleural empyema was defined as purulent pleural fluid appearance. In addition to purulent appearance, the presence of a pleural fluid pH <7.20, a glucose level <40 mg/dl and a lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) level >1000 IU and/or positive Gram stain and/or positive pleural fluid cultures. Uncomplicated PPE was defined as: if the aspirated fluid is nonpurulent, and having pH >7.20, glucose level >40 mg/dl, LDH level <1000 IU, negative Gram stain and negative pleural fluid cultures.

The medical records of patients were reviewed in respect of age, sex, history of cough, dyspnea, chest pain, abdominal pain, physical examination findings and previous antibiotic treatment before hospitalization. It was also questioned whether the patient received conjugated *Haemophilus influenza* type b (Hib) and conjugated pneumococcal vaccine or not. *Haemophilus influenza* type b vaccine has been put into practice routinely since 2006 in Turkey. The 7-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV7) was added to the national immunization schedule for children younger than 2 years in 2008 in our country. In 2011 this was replaced with the 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV13). The results of laboratory analyses that included complete blood count, C-reactive protein (CRP), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), pleural fluid cellular and biochemical findings, blood and pleural fluid culture were noted. Blood and pleural fluid cultures and antimicrobial susceptibility tests were performed with standard methods. *S. pneumoniae* polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and serotype identification test were used as available by Bio-Plex multiplex antigen detection method. If co infection was suspected by the means of beta-lactam use without improvement, enzyme immune assay (EIA) tests for *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* and *Chlamydia pneumoniae* Ig M and Ig G had been performed.

The treatment decision steps were as follows: 1) if pleural fluid findings were compatible with empyema or there was an effusion on posteroanterior chest x-ray involving 50% of the hemithorax, tube thoracostomy had been performed to drain the pleural cavity. Drainage tube had been inserted in the 5th or 6th intercostal on the medial axillary line and a negative pressure of 10-15 cm H2O was applied. Proper positioning of the chest tube was confirmed radiologically at the end of the procedure; 2) if there was incomplete resolution of the effusion or presence of fibrin strands, loculation and/or septations on ultrasonography, fibrinolytic therapy was

---

**Table I.** Bacteria Isolated by Culture of Pleural Fluid Samples from Children with Empyema According to the Vaccination Status.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Fully vaccinated with Hib vaccine</th>
<th>At least one dose of Hib vaccine</th>
<th>Fully vaccinated with PCV7</th>
<th>At least one dose PCV7</th>
<th>Fully vaccinated with PCV13</th>
<th>At least one dose PCV13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empyema (n)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisms</td>
<td><em>S. pneumoniae</em></td>
<td><em>S. aureus</em></td>
<td><em>S. pneumoniae</em></td>
<td><em>S. aureus</em></td>
<td>Viridans</td>
<td><em>S. aureus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S. pyogenes</em></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. fluorescens</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>S. pyogenes</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


administered through the chest tube. Urokinase was used as a fibrinolytic agent. Thoracostomy tube was left in place, until 1 mL/kg per day for 24 hours fluid had been drained through to tube 3) if pleural drainage was ineffective or multiple loculations/septations persisted despite fibrinolytic therapy, VATS or decortication was performed. For decortication operation, patients were referred to an another hospital. Initial empirical antibiotic treatment was nonpseudomonal third generation cephalosporine in all of the patients. The antibiotic therapy was modulated according to the patient’s response to treatment or antibiotic sensitivity results during hospitalization. The antibiotic treatment was administrated intravenously until steady clinical improvement was provided. Thereafter antibiotic treatment was continued by oral route for total duration of 4-6 weeks. Antibiotic treatment regimens, interventional or surgical procedures, length of in hospital stay were recorded in all of the patients.

### Statistical analysis

Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test the normality of continuous variables (age, sex, vaccination status, neutrophils, lymphocyte etc.). Descriptive data were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD), skewed data were shown as median and interquartile range (IQR), and absolute number or percentage (%). Differences between groups were assessed using Pearson’s $\chi^2$-test and the Mann-Whitney U-test. The level of significance adopted was $p < 0.05$. The statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 21.0 (IBM Corp. Released 2012. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.).

### Results

One hundred and sixteen children were enrolled in the study. Seventy (60.34%) patients had pleural empyema and 46 (39.65%) had PPE. Forty (57.14%) and 24 (52.17%) patients with empyema and PPE were male, respectively. The median age of children with empyema [72.0 months (IQR 68.0 months)] was significantly ($p = 0.003$) lower than the median age of children with PPE [92.5 (IQR 80.0 months)]. The immunization status of all of the Study Group according to their birth date was as follows: 17 patients were fully vaccinated with PCV7, 21 patients received at least one dose PCV7, 10 patients were fully vaccinated with PCV13, 7 patients received at least one dose PCV13 and 54 of children were fully vaccinated with Hib vaccine, 32 of cases received at least one dose of Hib. According to the vaccination status bacteria isolated by culture of pleural fluid samples from children with empyema were demonstrated in Table I. Forty-five (28.12%) patients had history of previous antibiotic treatment. Oral antibiotics had been commenced in seven patients in empyema group and 16 patients in PPE group. Twenty-two patients in empyema group had been treated with parenteral antibiotics at another center. Clinical and laboratory characteristics of children with empyema and PPE were shown in Table II and Table III, respectively.

Pleural fluid samples obtained from 66 of 70 patients (94.2%) with empyema were analyzed. Twenty of 66 patients (30.3%) with empyema had positive pleural fluid cultures; four of them...
(25%) had both positive blood and pleural fluid cultures. The pleural fluid and blood culture and pleural fluid PCR results were demonstrated in Table IV. All of the S. pneumoniae isolates were susceptible to penicillin, ceftriaxone, clindamycin and clarithromycin. The S. aureus isolate was methicillin sensitive. Mycoplasma pneumoniae Ig M and Ig G are analyzed in 60 patients. Among culture negative cases M. pneumoniae Ig M positivity in 12 cases with empyema and in 15 cases with PPE were detected. Chlamydophila pneumoniae Ig M was positive in three cases with empyema and in three cases with PPE. No coinfection with M. pneumoniae or C. pneumoniae and another organism was detected.

Ultrasound images of patients with PPE and empyema were demonstrated in Table V. Chest computed tomography (CT) was performed in 39 patients (55.7%) with empyema and in 0 patients (21.7%) with PPE. Necrotizing pneumonia was detected in 16 of 39 children with empyema, and three patients with PPE on chest CT scan. Pulmonary abscess was diagnosed in one child with empyema.

Clindamycin or clarithromycin were added to initial empirical nonpseudomonal third generation cephalosporin therapy in eight (6.9%) patients and in 46 (39.6%) patients, respectively. Tube thoracostomy was performed in 59 (%84.3) patients with empyema and in 6 (%13) patients with PPE. Intrapleural fibrinolytic treatment was required in 27 (34.1%) patients with empyema. Fibrinolytic treatment was administered after median 3 days (range: 2-5 days) of hospitalization, and median 3 doses (range: 2-5 doses). Duration of chest drainage was median 8 days (range:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>PPE</th>
<th>Empyema</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin (g/dl)</td>
<td>11.4 (2.6)</td>
<td>10.6 (2.1)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC (10³/mm³)</td>
<td>13.4 (8.4)</td>
<td>17.0 (12.9)</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombocyte (10³/mm³)</td>
<td>352.5 (211.0)</td>
<td>404.0 (341.0)</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/L)</td>
<td>166.0 (190.5)</td>
<td>235.0 (217.5)</td>
<td>0.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASO (IU/ml)</td>
<td>220.0 (474.0)</td>
<td>65.0 (167.0)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR (mm/hour)</td>
<td>76.0 (63.0)</td>
<td>81.0 (55.0)</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CRP: C-reactive protein; ASO: antistreptolysin-O titer; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate

Table IV. Bacteria Isolated by Culture of Blood and Pleural Fluid Samples and by PCR of Pleural Fluid Samples from Children with Empyema.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Blood culture (n:70)</th>
<th>Pleural fluid culture (n: 66)</th>
<th>Pleural fluid PCR (n: 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus pneumoniae</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6 (serotypes 1, 3, 4, 5, 8, 14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. pyogenes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viridans streptococcus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptostreptococcus spp.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemophilus influenzae</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavimonas oryzihabits</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas fluorescens</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA: not applicable

Three children were treated with VATS. VATS was performed earliest at 3 days and latest at 6 days after hospitalization. Decortication operation was performed in five children after median 12 days (range: 5-27 days) of hospitalization. Side effects attributable to fibrinolytic treatment were recorded in 9 patients, including mild chest pain (3 patients), transient fever (4 patients), and mild bleeding (2 patients). Complications of tube thoracostomy were recorded in 4 patients, including pneumothorax (3 patients) and bronchopleural fistula (1 patient).

The median duration of hospital stay of patients with empyema treated with tube drainage and fibrinolytic treatment was 21 days (range: 12-56 days). The median duration of hospital stay of patients with PPE treated with tube drainage was 22 days (range: 8-35 days). The median duration of hospital stay [16 days (IQR 9 days)] of patients with empyema was significantly (p<0.001) longer than patients with PPE [9 days, (IQR 8 days)]. None of the patient died. The mean duration of outpatient follow-up was 4 months (IQR 12 months).

**Discussion**

Parapneumonic effusion is a known complication of mainly bacterial pneumonia in children. Viral or mycoplasmal pneumonia may also cause PPE with a ratio of up to 20%. The incidence of PPE and empyema is steadily increasing according the recent reports. Empyema has been reported in 6.3 to 23 of 1,000 admissions among children. After the PCV7 introduction, despite rates of bacterial pneumonia and invasive pneumococcal disease decreased, annual empyema associated hospitalization rates in children increased. Authors thought that this is likely the result of serotype replacement with non-vaccine serotypes, or increasing MRSA. In the present study; we could not compare the two groups with respect of PCV7 or PCV13, since the patients of both groups were vaccinated partly and heterogeneously PCV7 or PCV13.

Parapneumonic effusion and empyema are more common in boys than girls and are more frequently encountered in infants and young children. Number of girls and boys were similar for patients with PPE and empyema in the present study. The median age at presentation of patients with empyema, was lower than the patients with PPE in our study. A comparison had been made between hospitalized patients with community acquire pneumonia (CAP) and empyema in a United States of America (USA) study. Empyema had been reported in 153 of 540 (28.3%) children with pneumonia. In concordance with our results, patients with empyema were more likely to be older than 3 years in this study. The present study did not include patients with CAP.

The presenting symptoms of PPE are frequently subtle and usually similar with classic symptoms of pneumonia such as cough, dyspnea, fever, malaise, loss of appetite. The clinical features of empyema are fever, cough, dyspnea tachypnea, lethargy, increasing oxygen requirement and respiratory distress. Pleural and abdominal pain were also seen. Fever was the most common presenting symptom of children with PPE and empyema in our study. All other presenting symptoms except dyspnea were similar in both groups. Dyspnea is more significant in patients with empyema than patients with PPE. It was reported that children with empyema had more chest pain and dyspnea at admission and had a significantly longer duration of fever compared with effusion and CAP group in the pediatric studies. On the contrary; mean duration of fever before hospitalization was similar in both groups in our study. We thought that two groups of our study had complicated or

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pleural fluid location</th>
<th>PPE Median depth, mm (IQR)</th>
<th>Empyema Median depth, mm (IQR)</th>
<th>With septation (n)</th>
<th>Without septation (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>14.0 (10.0)</td>
<td>22.0 (17.0)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>11.0 (14.0)</td>
<td>17.0 (18.0)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IQR: interquartile range; PPE: parapneumonic effusion
noncomplicated pleural effusion and none of them were uncomplicated CAP.

Pleural fluid culture positivity rate might be as low as 8%, in the patients who had been treated with antibiotics prior to thoracentesis\textsuperscript{16}. Similar to other pediatric reports, 16.4% of cultures of pleural fluid in our patients were detected as positive. Nearly one-third of our patients had history of previous antibiotic treatment. Molecular techniques can cover a broader range of pathogens and serotypes. Moreover, prior antibiotic treatment does not influence to the results of these techniques. But these are not employed routinely in laboratories for clinical use\textsuperscript{2,17}. \textit{Streptococcus pneumoniae} is the most common cause of CAP with or without empyema. Pleural empyema develops in 75% of patients with \textit{S. aureus} pneumonia. The incidence of Hib empyema decreased after the introduction of the Hib vaccination. Group A streptococcus, other streptococcal species, \textit{M. pneumoniae} and gram-negative organisms are less common causes of empyema in children. Recently community acquired MRSA has been found to be an important causative organism of empyema\textsuperscript{2,7,13,16-19}. In our study, \textit{S. pneumoniae} was the most common pathogen, followed by group A streptococcus. In a recent multicenter study from Turkey, \textit{S. pneumoniae} serotypes were determined in 156 children with empyema, irrespective of their vaccination status. \textit{S. pneumoniae} was detected in 53 of 156 patients (34%) by PCR and serotypes were specified in 33 of them. The most common pneumococcal serotypes in this study were 1 and 5, which are covered by both PCV10 and PCV13, but serotype 3 is covered only by PCV-13\textsuperscript{20}. Defined serotypes of five of our patients, as a part of this laboratory-based surveillance, are 1, 3, 4, 5, and 14.

\textit{Mycoplasma pneumoniae} is one of the most common respiratory pathogens. The course of illness is usually benign and is rarely associated with pulmonary complications. PPE due to \textit{M. pneumoniae} has been reported in 4-20% of patients with CAP. Although PPE is generally small, unilateral and does not require chest tube insertion, it can be massive and bilateral\textsuperscript{1,21-25}. In a retrospective observational study involving 121 hospitalized children and adolescent patients with CAP/PPE, \textit{M. pneumoniae} without co-infection was detected in 34 and \textit{M. pneumoniae}/\textit{S. pneumoniae} co-infection was found in nine patients. Other responsible organisms were reported as \textit{S. pneumoniae} in 36 and \textit{S. aureus} in 31 patients. Authors concluded that \textit{M. pneumoniae} related PPE was milder than that was caused by other organisms, but its course was longer\textsuperscript{26}. Massive pleural effusion and empyema secondary to \textit{M. pneumoniae} infection has been reported as case series or single case reports\textsuperscript{5, 27-32}. In our study, \textit{M. pneumoniae} related empyema and PPE were required chest tube drainage and tube thoracostomy with intrapleural fibrinolysis that \textit{M. pneumoniae} related empyema and PPE might be massive.

Diagnostic imaging methods play an important role in the diagnosis and management of PPE and empyema. The principal imaging methods are chest X-ray, chest ultrasonography, and chest CT. Posteroanterior or anteroposterior chest X-ray is frequently used as the first investigation method to suggest the presence of a parapneumonic collection, however it cannot definitively establish the presence of empyema. Chest ultrasonography confirms the presence of a pleural fluid and it useful to detect amount of fluid, fibrinous septations, debris or loculations in the pleural space. It may be also used to guide thoracentesis or drain placement\textsuperscript{11,33}. Some authors suggest that pleural debris, loculations and septations are better identified by using ultrasonography rather than CT scan\textsuperscript{34,35}. In a study of 30 pediatric patients, CT scanning was not found so helpful in differentiating empyema from PPE\textsuperscript{36}. The authors suggested that chest CT scan should not be performed routinely for the diagnosis of empyema or PPE. A small retrospective review comparing USG and CT found that CT had no advantage in most cases. Authors considered that CT should be used for distinguishing parenchymal abscesses from empyema or to detect broncho-pleural fistulae as a complication of empyema\textsuperscript{35}. In our study chest USG was a primary choice of imaging. In the present study, the chest CT scan was found useful to detect necrotizing pneumonia and lung abscesses.

The purpose of the treatment of empyema is lung reexpansion for improving respiratory function. Drainage of the pleural purulent fluid is required in most of the patients in
conjunction to intravenous antibiotics. For this purpose, repeated thoracentesis, tube drainage with thoracostomy, tube drainage and fibrinolytic, VATS or open decortications are performed. Empirical antibiotic treatment should cover *S. pneumoniae*, *S. pyogenes* and *S. aureus*. Some authors suggested that clindamycin may be used as the first empiric treatment option in children in addition to ceftriaxone for the purpose of covering community acquired MRSA, anaerobes and toxigenic group A streptococcus strains as possible responsible agents. In our study, clindamycin was added to ceftriaxone mainly for patients with empyema. We found that *M. pneumoniae* might be responsible for PPE or empyema as an only pathogen. Because the recommended first line empirical treatment for PPE and empyema is beta-lactams, adding macrolide antibiotics should be kept in mind in patients who show no improvement with initial antibiotics. In these patients, serological tests should be done for establishment of *M. pneumoniae* infection. The optimal modality for drainage is controversial. Repeated thoracentesis is not recommended in infants. If there is an effusion on posteroanterior chest X-ray involving 50% of the hemithorax or empyema is revealed in pleural fluid analysis, pleural space requires drainage with tube thoracostomy.

If there are septation, loculation, organized and thick collection on ultrasonographic imaging, fibrinolytic therapy should be performed for fibrin break down. The common fibrinolytic agents are urokinase, streptokinase and tissue plasminogen activator (tPA). In retrospective and prospective studies, fibrinolytic therapy has been shown to be superior to chest tube drainage alone when chest tube drainage is failed. Recently, VATS has been increasingly selected as an option for treatment of childhood empyema. Pediatric prospective studies showed that fibrinolytic therapy and VATS were equal to each other and there were not any differences in hospital stay, days with drainage, or treatment failure between the two treatment options. In a pediatric retrospective case-control study, it was shown that VATS did not result in a significantly shorter hospital stay or fewer complications compared with the conservative approach of chest drainage with or without fibrinolysis. As a result of reviewing the four randomized controlled study involving 194 children, there is no evidence that VATS is more effective than fibrinolytic treatment and authors suggested that nonoperative management should be the first line of therapy, if feasible. If pleural space drainage with fibrinolytic therapy is ineffective, VATS should be performed without delay. In our study, tube thoracostomy and antibiotic treatment was successful especially for most of the patients with empyema. When this treatment failed, intrapleural fibrinolysis was applied before VATS. Open decortication was reserved for all of these treatment failures.

We concluded that, patients with empyema were younger than the patients with PPE. Duration and frequency of fever were similar in patients with PPE or empyema, dyspnea is a more frequent presenting symptom of patients with empyema. *S. pneumoniae* and group A streptococcus had been detected as the most common causes of empyema, however pleural fluid culture positivity rate was low. *M. pneumoniae* might be responsible for PPE or empyema as a single pathogen. Furthermore *M. pneumoniae* related empyema might be as massive as requiring tube thoracostomy and intrapleural fibrinolysis. Adding macrolide antibiotic to initial beta-lactam antibiotics should be kept in mind in patients who show no improvement. Chest USG was a useful diagnostic imaging modality for PPE and empyema. Chest CT scan might be required to detect necrotizing pneumonia and lung abscesses. Tube thoracostomy and antibiotic treatment were successful treatment modalities for most of the patients.
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